

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/790,164	03/01/2004		Saunders N. Whittlesey	S03-04	9996	
40990	7590	05/26/2006		EXAMINER		
ACUSHNE 333 BRIDG			STASHICK, ANTHONY D			
P. O. BOX 9		I		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
FAIRHAVE	N, MA	02719		3728		
				D. TE. M. H. ED. 05/04/000		

DATE MAILED: 05/26/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/790,164	WHITTLESEY ET AL.	
Offic	ce Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Anthony Stashick	3728	
	AILING DATE of this communication ap	opears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
Period for Reply				
WHICHEVER - Extensions of tim after SIX (6) MON - If NO period for re - Failure to reply w Any reply receive	ED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I e may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. eply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior ithin the set or extended period for reply will, by statu- id by the Office later than three months after the mailing m adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communicatio D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1) Respons	sive to communication(s) filed on			
· <u> </u>		is action is non-final.		
′=	is application is in condition for allow		secution as to the merits is	Š
•	n accordance with the practice under			
Disposition of CI	aims			
4)⊠ Claim(s)) <u>1-37</u> is/are pending in the application	n.		
4a) Of th	e above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s)) is/are allowed.			
6)☐ Claim(s)) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s)) is/are objected to.			
8)⊠ Claim(s)) <u>1-37</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Application Pape	rs			
9)☐ The spec	cification is objected to by the Examin	er.		
10) The draw	ving(s) filed on is/are: a)□ ac	cepted or b) \square objected to by the \blacksquare	Examiner.	
Applicant	t may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacer	nent drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ction is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath	or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119			
12)☐ Acknowle	edgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f)	
) Some * c) None of:		(4) 5. (.).	
•	ertified copies of the priority documen	nts have been received.		
	ertified copies of the priority documen		on No	
	opies of the certified copies of the price			
. ap	pplication from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the a	ttached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not receive	d.	
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of Refere	nces Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	
2) 🔲 Notice of Draftsp	person's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	nte	
3)	losure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)	

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-28, drawn to a shoe with a sensor, controller and active response element, classified in class 36, subclass 29.
 - II. Claims 29-37, drawn to a method of determing changes in a shoe, classified in class 73, subclass 172.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the product can be used in a materially different process by the controller rather than the sensor determining whether the person is walking or swinging.
- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above, the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02, have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. A telephone call was made to Troy Lester on May 24, 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/790,164

Art Unit: 3728

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anthony Stashick whose telephone number is 571-272-4561. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 8:30 am until 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mickey Yu can be reached on 571-272-4562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3728

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Anthony Stashick Primary Examiner Art Unit 3728 Page 4

ADS